



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/903,278	07/11/2001	-	Philip M. Walker	10012790-1	9299	
7	590 02/28/2005			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY				TRAN, TONGOC		
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	CO 80527-2400			2134		
				DATE MAILED: 02/28/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050222	2
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/2001.		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
* See the attached detailed Office	•	` ''	ot received.	
3. Copies of the certified co			n received in this National Stage	
2. Certified copies of the pri	· ·			
1. Certified copies of the pri		e been received.		
a) All b) Some * c) None		ty under 55 U.S.C.	3 1 10(a)-(a) or (i).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priori	tv under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
11) The oath or declaration is object				
			arce. See 37 CFR 1.65(a). ag(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any			· ·	
9) The specification is objected to I				
Application Papers				
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or elec	tion requirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected		·		
' 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_ 10/GIO WILLIGIOWIT IIO	m consideration.		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)	• •	m consideration		
Disposition of Claims	W			
	initial and an part		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
closed in accordance with the p		•	• •	
2a) This action is FINAL . 3) Since this application is in cond	2b)⊠ This action lition for allowance ex		itters, prosecution as to the merits is	
1) Responsive to communication(s				
Status				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMN - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the seriod for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three may be arred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the number statutory period will apply or reply will, by statute, cause to onths after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may the statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Months the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	٠,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO	OD FOR REPLY IS S	FT TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
		goc Tran	2134	
Office Action Summar		miner	Art Unit	
		903,278	WALKER ET AL.	
	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's application serial no. 09/903278 filed on July 11, 2001.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/11/2001 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 10-14, 19-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barritz (U.S. Patent No. 5,499,340).

In respect to claim 1, Barritz discloses a system comprising:

a target; a probe operable to execute in the target and collect a predetermined set of
data associated with the target; and a monitor operable to receive the collected
predetermined set of data to compare with expected data values to determine whether
the target has been altered (e.g. col. 8, line 65-col. 9, line 8, col. 10, lines 1-22 and line
65-col. 11, line 24).

In respect to claim 2, Barritz discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/903,278 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

wherein the probe is resident in the target (e.g. col. 10, lines 1-17).

In respect to claim 3, Barritz discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the monitor is operable to send the probe to the target for execution (e.g. col. 8, line 65-col. 9, line 8 and col. 10, lines 1-22).

In respect to claim 4, Barritz discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the probe repeatedly executes and the predetermined set of data varies for each execution of the probe (e.g. col. 9, lines 28-46 and col. 10, lines 18-22).

In respect to claim 5, Barritz discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1, wherein the predetermined set of data includes system attributes and system usage data (e.g. col. 10, lines 1-22).

In respect to claims 10-14, the claim limitations are substantially similar to claims 1-5. Therefore, claims 10-14 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 19-23 and 26, the claim limitations are substantially similar to claims 1-5. Therefore, claims 19-23 and 26 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claim 25, Barritz discloses the method, as set forth in claim 23, further comprising generating billing data based on the system usage data in response to the system attribute data being verified (e.g. col. 1, lines 35-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/903,278

Art Unit: 2134

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-9, 15-18 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barritz (U.S. Patent No. 5,499,340) in view of Bruce Schneier ("Applied Cryptography, Second Edition, Protocols, Algorithms and Source Code in C", 1996, pages 30-31, 41-44 and 48-50).

In respect to claims 6-9, Barritz discloses the system, as set forth in claim 1.

Barritz does not disclose wherein the probe is operable to calculate a signature value of at least a portion of an execution image of the probe; to compare the calculated signature value to an expected signature value; to determine a signature value of a random subset of an execution image of the probe; to generate an encryption key from the signature value for encrypting the collected predetermined set of data. However, Schneier discloses using digital signature with encryption to authenticate the integrity of data transmitted over the network (Schneier, e.g. pages 30-31, 41-44 and 48-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Barritz's monitoring computer usage over the network with Schneier's teaching of digital signature to authenticate the data received to ensure the integrity of the data transmitted over the network.

In respect to claims 15-17, the claim limitation is similar to claims 2-4. Therefore, claims 15-17 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claim 18, Barritz discloses the method, as set forth in claim 17, further comprising: sending the data to a monitor, the data including system attribute data and system usage data; verifying the system attribute data; and generating billing

data based on the system usage data in response to the system attribute data being verified (e.g. col. 1, lines 35-45, col. 8, line 65-col. 9, line 8, col. 10, lines 1-22 and line 65-col. 11, line 24). Barritz does not disclose sending an encrypted data to a monitor and decrypting the encrypted data using a decryption key. However, Schneier discloses encrypting and decrypting data using public key system (Schneier, e.g. pages 20-31, 41-44 and 48-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of public key encryption system taught by Schneier with Barritz's monitoring of computer usage to protect transmitted data from being tampered.

In respect to claim 24, the claim limitation is similar to claims 18. Therefore, claim 24 is rejected based on the similar rationale.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3843. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,278

Art Unit: 2134

Page 6

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Examiner: Tongoc Tran

Art Unit: 2134

February 22, 2005

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100